District Judge James L. Robart 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 11 SEA SHEPHERD LEGAL, Case No. C19-463 JLR 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATED MOTION AND 13 PROPOSED ORDER FOR RELIEF FROM 26(F) CONFERENCE, v. 14 INITIAL DISCLOSURES, AND NATIONAL OCEANIC AND JOINT STATUS REPORT 15 ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION, et 16 Noted for Consideration: al., 17 May 28, 2019 Defendants. 18 19 Plaintiff SEA SHEPHERD LEGAL filed the above-captioned lawsuit under the 20 Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") against Defendants NATIONAL OCEANIC AND 21 ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION ("NOAA") and NATIONAL MARINE 22 FISHERIES SERVICES ("NMFS"), seeking disclosure of certain documents. 23 For the reasons stated below, the parties respectfully request that the Court vacate 24 the Rule 26 deadlines, and instead allow the parties to submit a joint status report within 25 the next 60 days. 26 The ultimate issue in a FOIA action is whether the agency in question has 27 "improperly" withheld agency records. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B); Kissinger v. Reporters 28 Comm. for Freedom of the Press, 445 U.S. 136, 150 (1980). This is typically a question

1 [] of law for the Court, rather than a question of fact, and thus, ""[s]ummary judgment is the 2 procedural vehicle by which nearly all FOIA cases are resolved." Shannahan v. I.R.S., 3 637 F. Supp. 2d 902, 912 (W.D. Wash. 2009) (quoting Los Angeles Times Commc'ns, 4 LLC v. Dep't of Army, 442 F. Supp. 2d 880, 893 (C.D. Cal. 2006)). The parties agree that 5 the initial disclosure requirements of Rule 26(a)(1) and the requirements of Rule 26(f), 6 requiring the parties to prepare a discovery plan, are not appropriate in this case at this 7 time, as the litigation is unlikely to lead to trial, and very possibly not discovery. That 8 being said, the Plaintiff reserves the right to request discovery should evidence of bad 9 faith or other grounds for discovery emerge. 10 Thus far, the parties have worked cooperatively in an attempt to resolve this 11 litigation without motion practice. Defendants intend to produce the requested 12 documents imminently with a final determination letter. As further discussion is 13 expected after the production, counsel for the parties intend to continue to work together 14 on any issues. If at any time in the next 60 days it becomes apparent that resolution 15 between the parties is not feasible, the parties will submit a joint briefing schedule to the 16 Court. SO STIPULATED. 17 18 Dated this 28th day of May 2019. 19 20 Brett W. Sommermeyer 21 BRETT W. SOMMERMEYER, WSBA # 30003 22 Catherine E. Pruett 23 CATHERINE E. PRUETT, WA BAR # 35140 24 SEA SHEPHERD LEGAL 25 2226 Eastlake Avenue East, No. 108 Seattle, WA 98102 26 Phone: (206) 504-1600 27 Email: brett@seashepherdlegal.org Email: catherine@seashepherdlegal.org 28

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1 2 SO STIPULATED. 3 Dated this 28th day of February 2019. 4 Michelle R. Lambert 5 MICHELLE R. LAMBERT, NY # 4666657 Assistant United States Attorney 6 United States Attorney's Office 7 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 700 Tacoma, Washington 98402 8 Phone: 253-428-3824 9 Email: michelle.lambert@usdoj.gov 10 Attorney for Defendants 11 12 13 14 15 16 ORDER 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 Dated this 28 day of May 2019. 20 21 22 JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge 23 24 25 26 27 28